

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/760,483	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUZUKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> D. S. Nakarani	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

**All Participants:**

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Ms. Darleen J. Stockley, Attorney.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 April 2006

**Time:** 3:45 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*13, 14, 15, 22, 23 and 24*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Ms. Stockley agreed to amend claims 13-15 and 22-24 to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112. For the details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)